



Dear referee,

We are sending you a manuscript which we trust you can evaluate with the rigour and competence needed for scientific publication.

The evaluation form we use, which you will find below, is divided into three steps: firstly we ask you to award points to a series of pre-set descriptors. You are then asked to provide full comments. The last phase requires you to decide whether the manuscript should be accepted or not and the level of revision required.

We kindly ask you to fill in the interactive fields in this file and save the final version by adding your surname to the file name.

Please remember that refereeing follows the double-blind procedure and so your evaluation will be sent to the author and to any other referees anonymously. Furthermore, the content of this document is confidential and cannot be disclosed by either referees or authors.

In order to ensure greater freedom of opinion, please add any comments that you would like only the Erickson editorial board to view in the box below. Anything written in this space will remain confidential and will not be disclosed to the authors or any other referees.

Referee name and surname:

Title of article:

ANY CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS FOR THE EDITOR

We would like to thank you for accepting to referee this manuscript.

Yours faithfully,

*Erickson Editorial Board*

**Edizioni Centro Studi Erickson S.p.A.**

TRENTO | SEDE PRINCIPALE  
Via del Pioppeto 24 - 38121 Trento  
Tel. 0461 951500 - Fax 0461 956733

Capitale sociale € 200.000 i.v.  
C.F. P.IVA e N. Reg. Imprese  
di Trento - 01063120222

ROMA  
Viale Etiopia 20 - 00199 Roma  
Tel. 06 90216980

INFO  
[www.erickson.it](http://www.erickson.it)  
[info@erickson.it](mailto:info@erickson.it)



## Part one – Questionnaire

Please award each item a point from a minimum of 1 to a maximum of 5. The white space below should be used for any comments and/or explanations regarding the section.

| RELEVANCE AND ORIGINALITY   |  |
|---|--|
| The topic covered in the article is of significant interest for the journal.  | 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The article is original and presents innovative content regarding the topic in question.                                  | 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The article presents data and observations which are pertinent to the current scientific debate on the topic in question. | 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> |
|   |  |

| SCIENTIFIC WORTH   |  |
|--|--|
| The article is of high scientific worth.   | 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The article presents up-to-date content and refers to the latest research on the topic.        | 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The research methodology used is sound and appropriate.  | 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The article opens up the possibility of future research developments on the topic in question. | 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> |
|  |  |

| CLARITY  |  |
|--|--|
| The title is clear and accurate and it aptly describes the contents of the article.  | 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The abstract effectively introduces the contents of the article and is written with clarity and relevance.   | 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> |
| The structure of the article is appropriate and complete. The arguments proposed are clear and organised in a logical manner.                                | 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Presentation is clear and accurate from both a stylistic and grammatical point of view; language used is consistent with the specialised lexis of the field. | 1 <input type="checkbox"/> 2 <input type="checkbox"/> 3 <input type="checkbox"/> 4 <input type="checkbox"/> 5 <input type="checkbox"/> |
|  |  |

### Edizioni Centro Studi Erickson S.p.A.



Part two– Discursive evaluation

This evaluation will be sent to the author and to other referees together with the questionnaire above.

Key ideas

Please identify the key ideas and the most interesting points of the article using a maximum of 300 characters.

Evaluation and comments

Please provide us with your opinions and comments, in narrative form, using a maximum of 1000 characters.

Part three – Recommendations

- Approve: to be published without changes
  - Approve with partial reservations: to be published subject to minor revision
  - Approve with reservations: to be published subject to significant revision
  - Reject, with potential future development: invite the author to submit a new manuscript on the topic supported by additional studies
- Would you be willing to referee a new version of the article*      Yes       No
- Reject

**Edizioni Centro Studi Erickson S.p.A.**